Table 1-2

COMPARISON OF NUMBER AND SCOPE OF HEALTH CARE FACILITIES & SERVICES COVERED IN STATES WITH CON PROGRAMS

		Care	Air Ambulance	Amb Surg Ctrs	Care	Business Cmptrs	ac Cath.	Scanners	Gamma Knives	Home Health	æ	ripsy	Long Term Care	Med Off Bldg	Mobile HiTech	cans	Neo-ntl Int Care	Obstetric Svcs	Open Heart Svcs	Organ Transplant	cans	Psychiatric Svcs	Rad Therapy		Renal Dialysis	Res Care Fac	cute	ance Abuse	Swing Beds	Ultrasound	Capital Threshold	Other Services ³
RANK ¹	STATE ²	Acute Care			Burn Care	Busin	Cardiac	CT Sc		Ноте	ICF/MR	Lithotripsy		Med (MRI Scans	Neo-n	Obste	Open	Organ	PET Scans			Rehab		Res C	Subacute	Substance	Swing			Other
31.2	ME	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ			Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	
30.8	WV	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ
27.6	GA	Χ		Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ				Χ			Χ	Χ
27.5	CT	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ				Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ
27.0	AK	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ
22.5	VT	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Χ		Χ	
21.0	MO	Х		Х			X		X	L.,	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	X	Х	X		Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	X	<u> </u>		Х	Χ
20.9	SC	X		X			X		X	Х	Х	Х	Х		Χ	Х	Χ	Х	X		Х	Х	Х	Х	L.,		Х	X			Х	
19.8	MS	X		X			X		X	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	.,		X		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		X	Χ		Х	
18.4	NC	X	Χ	X	X		X	Х	X	Х	X	X	X		Х	Х	X		X	X	Х	X	X	X	X			X			X	X
18.4	IL	X		Χ	X		X		X		X	X	X	Х			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х		X	Χ
17.1	NJ	X			Χ		X		Х	X	X	Χ	X		X	.,	X	Х	X	X	Х	X	X	X		X		X			X	L.,
16.2	KY	X		X			X	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		X	Χ		X		X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Χ	X	X	V		X	Χ
16.1 15.3	DC MD	X		X	v		X	Х	Х	X	v	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х		X	X	X		X	V
15.2	MI	X	V	X	Х		X	V	V	Х	Х	V	X		V	V	X	Х	X	X	V	X	V	Х	V		Х	Х	X		X	X
15.2	RI	X	Χ	X			X	X	X			Χ	X		X	X	X	V	X	X	X	X	X	V	Х		Х	Х	X		X	Χ
15.2	HI	X	Х	X	Х		X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Х		X	X	X		X	1
13.6	TN	X	^	X	^		X	X	^	X	X	X	X		^	X	X	^	^	^	X	X	X	X	^		X	X	^		X	Х
13.2	NY	X		X	Х		X	X	Х	X	X	X	X		Х	X	X		Х	Х	^	X	X	X	Х		^	X	Х	Х	X	^
12.6	WA	X		X	X		^		^	X	^	^	X				X	Х	X	X				X	X		Х	^	X	^	X	Х
12.0	AL	X		X	_^		Х		Χ	X		Х	X			Х	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	X		^	Х	X		X	X
11.7	NH	X		X			X	Х				X	X		Х	X			X			X	X	X				X	^		X	
8.4	AR			-/-						Х	Х		X						-/-							Х	Х		Х		X	Χ
8.4	FL	Χ			Χ					X	X		X				Χ		Х	Х		Х					X	Х				X
8.1	IA			Χ			Χ				Х		Х						Х	Х	Х		Х								Х	Х
8.0	VA	Χ		Х			Х	Х	Χ		Х	Χ	Х		Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				Χ			X	Χ
7.0	OK										Χ		Х									Х						Χ			Χ	Χ
6.3	MT			Χ						Χ	Χ		Х											Χ				Χ	Χ		Χ	
5.1	MA		Χ	Χ					Χ			Χ	Х			Х	Χ		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ		Х		Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ
4.9	NV	Χ		Χ							Χ		Χ											Χ			Χ	Χ			Χ	
4.8	DE	Χ		Χ			Χ					Χ	Χ								Χ		Χ								Χ	Χ
4.4	WI										Χ		Χ														Χ				Χ	Χ
1.0	OH												Х																		Χ	Χ
0.6	OR												Χ																Χ		**	
0.6	NE												Χ											Χ							**	
0.4	LA										Χ		Χ																			

This chart is adapted from the American Health Planning Association's annual graphic, last updated in AHPA's 2000 Directory of Health Planning Policy & Regulatory Agencies (11th ed.), which compares the "National Relative Scope and Reviewability Threshold of CON Regulated Services" among the states. The 2000 version of AHPA's graphic contained some errors with regard to Maryland's services, which have been corrected in Staff's adaptation. Consequently, the "severity" index as calculated according to several factors, including number of services regulated and level of capital review threshold, may not precisely reflect Maryland's "weight" or "severity" according to AHPA's formula, compared to other CON states. However, the chart's relative position of Maryland's CON program--which does not cover a significant number of health care facilities and services regulated by many other states--would still be in the middle range of CON programs, nationwide.



^{**} Any capital expenditure for LTC

No. of services x weight as determined by the Missouri CON Program.

² Including the District of Columbia.

³ Services in addition to those most often CON-regulated.